Advancing PL Based Formal Methods Research and Education

Harley Eades III Computer Science School of Computer and Cyber Sciences Augusta University

Who is this guy?

- Ph.D. Theoretical Computer Science, University of Iowa, 2014
- Thesis: The Semantic Analysis of Advanced Programming Languages
- Now: Research Faculty at Augusta University

Research Interests

- Computational Logic
- Foundations of Programming Languages
- Software Verification
- Interactive/Automated Theorem Proving
- Pure and Applied Mathematics

Overall Research Goals

Advance the theory of programming languages and interactive theorem proving so that it is more applicable to real-world problems.

Overall Research Goals

Applying the theory of programming languages and interactive theorem proving to new areas of computer science.

Threat Analysis using Attack Trees

Autonomous Vehicle Attack



























- A = "Modify Street Signs to Cause Wreck"
- B = "Pose as Mechanic"
- C = "Install Malware"
- D = "Find Address of Cars Location"
- E = "Break Window"
- F = "Disable Door Alarm/Locks"

 $(A \triangleright (B \odot C)) \sqcup (D \triangleright ((E \sqcup F) \triangleright C))$

Attack Trees in Resource-Sensitive Logics

Resource-Sensitive Logics:

- Model Resource Critical Systems as Formulas
- Prove Properties about the Modeled Systems by Proving Properties about Formulas
- Understands Concurrency
- Formally Controls Duplication of Resources

Attack Trees in Resource-Sensitive Logics

Reasoning about Attack Trees:

- Model Attack Trees as Formulas in Resource-Sensitive Logics
- Prove Properties about Attack Trees by Proving Properties about Formulas
- Respects the Concurrency Perspective of Attack Trees

- A = "Modify Street Signs to Cause Wreck"
- B = "Pose as Mechanic"
- C = "Install Malware"
- D = "Find Address of Cars Location"
- E = "Break Window"
- F = "Disable Door Alarm/Locks"

 $\begin{array}{l} (A \rhd (B \odot C)) \sqcup (D \rhd ((E \sqcup F) \rhd C)) \\ \equiv ((A \rhd B) \odot (A \rhd C)) \sqcup ((D \rhd (E \rhd C)) \sqcup (D \rhd (F \rhd C))) \end{array}$

- Embedded Domain Specific Functional Programming Languages
 - Host Language: Haskell
- Compositional Attack Tree Specification Language
- Automated Reasoning about Attack Trees using Maude and SMT
- Open Source and Available on Github: https://github.com/MonoidalAttackTrees/Lina

import Lina.AttackTree

```
vehicle_attack :: APAttackTree Double String
vehicle_attack = start_PAT $
  or_node "Autonomous Vehicle Attack"
    (seq_node "External Sensor Attack"
        (base_wa 0.2 "Modify Street Signs to Cause Wreck")
        (and_node "Social Engineering Attack"
        (base_wa 0.6 "Pose as Mechanic")
        (base_wa 0.6 "Pose as Mechanic")
        (base_wa 0.1 "Install Malware")))
    (seq_node "Over Night Attack"
        (base_wa 0.05 "Find Address where Car is Stored")
        (seq_node "Compromise Vehicle"
        (or_node "Break In"
             (base_wa 0.8 "Break Window")
             (base_wa 0.5 "Disable Door Alarm/Locks"))
        (base_wa 0.1 "Install Malware")))
```

<pre>se_attack :: APAttackTree Double String se_attack = start_PAT \$ and_node "social engineering attack" (base_wa 0.6 "pose as mechanic") (base_wa 0.1 "install malware")</pre>	<pre>bi_attack :: APAttackTree Double String bi_attack = start_PAT \$ or_node "break in" (base_wa 0.8 "break window") (base_wa 0.5 "disable door alarm/locks")</pre>
<pre>cv_attack :: APAttackTree Double String cv_attack = start_PAT \$ seq_node "compromise vehicle" (insert bi_attack) (base_wa 0.1 "install malware")</pre>	<pre>es_attack :: APAttackTree Double String es_attack = start_PAT \$ seq_node "external sensor attack" (base_wa 0.2 "modify street signs to cause</pre>
<pre>on_attack :: APAttackTree Double String on_attack = start_PAT \$ seq_node "overnight attack" (base_wa 0.05 "Find address where car</pre>	<pre>vehicle_attack'' :: APAttackTree Double String vehicle_attack'' = start_PAT \$ or_node "Autonomous Vehicle Attack" (insert es_attack) (insert on_attack)</pre>

```
-- Internal Attack Tree
data IAT where
Base :: ID -> IAT
OR :: ID -> IAT -> IAT -> IAT
AND :: ID -> IAT -> IAT -> IAT
SEQ :: ID -> IAT -> IAT -> IAT
```

```
-- Attributed Process Attack Tree
data APAttackTree attribute label = APAttackTree {
    process_tree :: IAT,
    labels :: B.Bimap label ID,
    attributes :: M.Map ID attribute
}
```

-- Full Attack Tree
data AttackTree attribute label = AttackTree {
 ap_tree :: APAttackTree attribute label,
 configuration :: Conf attribute

data Conf attribute = (Ord attribute) => Conf {
 orOp :: attribute -> attribute -> attribute,
 andOp :: attribute -> attribute -> attribute,
 seqOp :: attribute -> attribute -> attribute
}

-- Full Attack Tree
data AttackTree attribute label = AttackTree {
 ap_tree :: APAttackTree attribute label,
 configuration :: Conf attribute

32

- Query Attack Trees for:
 - Most Likely Attack
 - Least Likely Attack
 - Set of all Attacks
- Prove Properties of Attack Trees using Logical Theory:
 - Equivalence of Attack Trees
 - Specializations

> :load source/Lina/Examples/VehicleAttack.hs
...

```
Ok, modules loaded
> get_attacks $ vehicle_AT
```

```
• • •
```

```
SEQ("over night attack",0.8)
    ("Find address where car is stored",0.05)
    (SEQ("compromise vehicle",0.8)
                     ("break window",0.8)
                     ("install malware",0.1))
```

Lina in the Future

- Attack Trees as Comonads?
- Developing a benchmarking library using random generation of attack trees via QuickCheck.

Takeaways

- Attack Trees are used to assess threat of security critical systems
- Attack Trees are **process trees**.
- Attack Trees can be modeled as formulas in resource-sensitive logics.
- Analysis of Attack Trees can be **automated** using their logical semantics.
- Lina is a functional programming language that supports this new perspective.

Joint Work with:

Dominic Orchard and Vilem Liepelt, University of Kent

Resource-Sensitive Logics

- Resource-Sensitive Logics = Substructural Logics
 - Linear, Affine, Contractive, Non-commutative Logic
- Limit how hypothesis (variables) are used to control resources
 - Control structural rules for exchange, weakening and contraction

The Structural Rules

 $\Gamma_1, x: A, y: B, \Gamma_2 \vdash t: C$ EX $\Gamma_1, y: B, x: A, \Gamma_2 \vdash t: C$

The Structural Rules

$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash t : B}{\Gamma_1, x : A, \Gamma_2 \vdash t : B}$ WEAK

The Structural Rules

 $\frac{\Gamma_1, x : A, y : A, \Gamma_2 \vdash t : B}{\Gamma_1, x : A, \Gamma_2 \vdash [x/y]t : B}$ CONTRACT

Resource-Sensitive Logics

- Lambek Calculus = STLC Ex Weak Contract
- Linear Logic = STLC Weak Contract
- Affine Logic = STLC Contract
- Contractive Logic = STLC Weak

Resource-Sensitive Logics

- Linear Logic = Lambek Calculus + Ex
- Affine Logic = Linear Logic + Weak
- Contractive Logic = Linear Logic + Contract
- STLC = Linear Logic + Weak + Contract

What Types of Resources?

Examples:

- Memory consumption,
- State-based systems,
- Time complexity, etc.

Dependent Types

 $\forall (l_1 \, l_2 \, l_3 : \mathsf{List} \, A) \to ((l_1 + + l_2) + + l_3) \equiv (l_1 + + (l_2 + + l_3))$

Dependent Types

- Write programs and prove them correct in the same language.
 - Specifications for programs are sets of dependent types.
 - Writing programs with these dependent types is equivalent to proving each property in the specification.
 - Type checking these programs machine checks your proofs.

Dependent Types

Not resource sensitive; has all of the structural rules!

Generalize Linear Logic to a Dependent-Type System

Easier said than done!

$id: (A: Type) \rightarrow (x: A) \rightarrow A$ id A x = x

Naive linear dependent type theory is unusable.

We need an mechanism to relax the system when we want.

Our Solution: Naive Linear Dependent Type Theory + Graded Modalities

Graded Modalities: programmer precisely controls the usage of variables.

$id: (A: Type) \rightarrow (x: A) \rightarrow A$ id A x = x

$\mathsf{id}: (|A|:\mathsf{Type}|2:0|) \to (x:A|0:1|) \to A$ $\mathsf{id} |A||x| = x$

id :
$$(|A| : \mathsf{Type} | 2 : 0|) \rightarrow (x : A | 0 : 1|) \rightarrow A$$

id $A |x| = x$

Education

Overall Education Goals

Incorporating formal-methods reasoning principles and techniques into the primary - university CS education.

Overall Education Goals

Exploiting formal-methods research to develop new education tools to make learning and teaching easier for students and educators respectively.

The Pull CS Back Initiative

The Pull CS Back Initiative

The goal is to assistant CS primary school through secondary school educators with little CS background incorporate CS topics into their curriculum.

The Pull CS Back Initiative

Masters Degree:

- Broadly introduce educators to CS topics and its pedagogy.
- Fast: One year
- Collaboration between CS department and college of education.

The Pull CS Back Initiative

Pullback Seminar:

- An inclusive environment anyone can participate in to learn about CS education topics.
- Open to the public
- Free!
- A way for non-university educators to keep learning about CS.

Education Tools

Disco Lang

- A language designed to bring functional programming and formal methods into discrete mathematics.
- Syntax must be based on prior mathematical knowledge.
- Good errors messages are extremely important.
- Joint work with Brent Yorgey, Hendrix College.

```
implication : B -> B -> B
implication x y =
  {? false if x and not y,
    true otherwise
  ?}
```

Haskell QuickGrader

- An auto grader for Haskell assignments.
- Grading is done using the QuickCheck library.
- Incorporated into a Gitlab server.
- Students just push on solution branch to trigger grading, and report is generated and pushed back.